

Attendee Report

GoToWebinar

Generated

Jun 24, 2014 04:37 AM PDT

General Information

Webinar Name

Contaminated Media Forum - Probabilistic Risk

Webinar ID

945055418

Actual Start Date/Time

Jun 23, 2014 08:46 AM EDT

Actual Duration (minutes)

253

Clicked Registration Link

35

Opened Invitation

0

Total Attended

19

Session Details

Attended	Interest Rating	First Name
----------	-----------------	------------

Last Name	Email	Registration Date	Join Time	Leave Time	In Session Duration* (minutes)	Phone
-----------	-------	-------------------	-----------	------------	-----------------------------------	-------

Industry	Organization	Unsubscribed	Questions Asked by Attendee
----------	--------------	--------------	-----------------------------

Goodrum	pgoodrum@integral-corp.com	Jun 23, 2014 08:31 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:01 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM 237.5 EDT	315-396-6655
---------	----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------

Provenzano	susan.provenzano@aecom.com	Jun 12, 2014 09:11 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:02 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:35 AM 93.05 EDT	864-234-3591
------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------

Consulting Integral Consulting Inc. No

Q: where A = risk, B = combination of all variables except concentration term, C = concentration

A: --unanswered--

Q: For backcalculation: $C = A/B$ and A is always a pt estimate (our risk metric/threshold), so we never run into the backcalculation issue

A: --unanswered--

Q: $A = B \times C$

A: --unanswered--

Q: my audio apparently will no unmute

A: --unanswered--

Q: but you can use alternate BW-normalized variables, correct?

A: --unanswered--

Q: In general, backcalculation will "work" if there are no dependencies between the variables (i.e., each is randomly sampled independently)

A: --unanswered--

Q: Phil Goodrum - Integral Consulting

A: --unanswered--

Q: And the risk metric is a fixed point estimate (e.g., 1 in a million incremental cancer risk)

A: --unanswered--

Q: I'll provide some material for the team to consider.

A: --unanswered--

Consulting AECOM No

Q: I respectfully disagree that there is an issue here with

DeMott

rdemott@environcorp.com Jun 23, 2014 08:57 AM EDT

Jun 23, 2014
08:58 AM EDT

Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM 241.18
EDT

8136284325

Q: I think that PRAs should be done forward and iteratively to avoid the issue.

A: --unanswered--

Q: Could someone repeat please, speaker clipping out badly

A: --unanswered--

Q: But you are still considering year 1 -- some number of the simulated children DO start in year 1.

A: --unanswered--

Q: Maybe not today, but Chris T. is right, there are multiple studies and the answer is clearly something substantially less than one. Good reason to convene at length and decide .

A: --unanswered--

Q: We have had the technical advance of toxicity values for many newly computed situations being done via the benchmark dose. This is a direct use of variability for one computation and thus, it should similarly be appropriate

A: --unanswered--

Q: Is this a topic that htee CMF should be convened as a specific recommending body given a series of interactions - like we did for the bioavai.

A: --unanswered--

Q: Yes - uncertainty and variability are different.

A: --unanswered--

Q: PRA should definitely deal with toxicity values. This is where a primary amount of the variability comes from.

A: --unanswered--

Q: Agreed. EPA has now incorporated probabilistic modeling via benchmark dose routinely. In other words, it is "good enough for them," but still not good enough others to use? Doesn't make sense.

A: --unanswered--

Q: around 50

A: --unanswered--


Q: Yes also - you can address these collectively through a single simulation, you just can't tell how much of the distribution you see is from each of these.

A: --unanswered--

Q: Am muted, but prior experience shows that 0.1 of a ppm becomes the basis for a lot of discussion. Members

Anderson	paul.anderson@arcadis-us.com	Jun 23, 2014 06:32 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:59 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:57 PM EDT	238.45	978-322-4504
Harman	tharman@handexmail.com	Jun 23, 2014 08:55 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:55 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT	243.95	954.681.4077
Bartee	margaret.bartee@arcadis-us.com	Jun 05, 2014 06:22 PM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:57 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:47 AM EDT	49.97	
Cline	donna.cline@psiusa.com	Jun 06, 2014 08:27 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:01 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:28 AM EDT	87	813-886-1075
Anstey	jane.anstey@dep.state.fl.us	Jun 23, 2014 10:34 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:34 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT	145.08	8502458910

Consulting	ARCADIS	No	<p>Q: Steve is breaking up and hard to hear on the 2D discussion</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: concur with current speaker that PRA is excellent opportunity to bring range of possible bioavailability into the exposure assessment.</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: I will need to drop off the call now. Thanks for letting me listen in. Very much appreciate a summary of action items if such is forthcoming to participants.</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: Are the waste site folks aware of the work on this same topic the Ken Weaver has completed on this same topic but for water quality criteria for Florida?</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: Will the slides shown today be available on the Department's website? And will it be possible to provide written comments on some the questions/proposals discussed today?</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: and that high end consumers are less than 1×10^{-4}</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: Water criteria are iterative forward calculations</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p> <p>Q: demonstrating the criteria meet a 1×10^{-6} at the mean</p>
Consulting	HCR, LLC	No	
Consulting	ARCADIS	Bounce	
Consulting	PSI	No	<p>Q: Donna Cline, Professional Service Industries, Inc., is on the line.</p> <p>A: --unanswered--</p>
Government - State & Local	FDEP	No	



Participant	Email	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Phone Number
Sager	shawn.sager@arcadis-us.com	Jun 05, 2014 05:07 PM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:15 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT 223.87	919-415-2298
Applegate	joe.applegate@arcadis-us.com	Jun 23, 2014 09:02 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:02 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 11:50 AM EDT 168.4	850-895-4720
Folsom	sfolsom@hsweng.com	Jun 20, 2014 03:55 PM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:58 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT 240.82	
Tolson	ktolson@geosyntec.com	Jun 23, 2014 09:07 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:07 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 11:51 AM EDT 108.68	813-918-1326
Markram	caep@conservancy.org	Jun 17, 2014 11:26 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:55 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT 243.65	(239)262-0304 ext. 251
Lewis	rlewis@craworld.com	Jun 23, 2014 09:01 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:01 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:19 AM EDT 77.62	239-936-0789
Culbreth	mculbreth@ectinc.com	Jun 09, 2014 07:57 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:51 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 11:07 AM EDT 136.15	8133828953
Dillard	steve.dillard@aecom.com	Jun 20, 2014 08:48 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:35 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 11:52 AM EDT 76.68	864-234-8920
Rob	rebecca.robinette@dep.state.fl.us	Jun 23, 2014 09:48 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 09:48 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 11:22 AM EDT 94.08	

Consulting	ARCADIS U.S., Inc.	No	
Consulting	ARCADIS	No	Q: Paul Anderson and Joe Applegate, ARCADIS are on A: --unanswered-- Q: Hi Brian, folks on the phone cannot hear Steve :) A: --unanswered-- Q: and Shawn Sager from ARCADIS as well A: --unanswered-- Q: hi Brian, Steve is fading in and out, needs to speak closer to speaker phone A: --unanswered--
Consulting	HSW Engineering, Inc.	No	
Consulting	Geosyntec	No	
Other	Conservancy of Southwest Florida	No	
Consulting	CRA	No	
Consulting	Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc.	No	
Consulting	AECOM	No	
		No	

Response	Count	Attendee Name
Yes	62	Dan
Yes	40	Chris
No		Marisa
No		Alex
No		Annette

*If an attendee left and rejoined the session, the In Session Duration column only includes their first visit.

Bicknell	danjbicknell@live.com	Jun 06, 2014 09:16 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:59 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 10:15 AM EDT	76.55	
Saranko	csaranko@geosyntec.com	Jun 23, 2014 08:42 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 08:46 AM EDT	Jun 23, 2014 12:59 PM EDT	253.02	678-202-9548
Carrozzo	marisac@conservancy.org	Jun 06, 2014 11:21 AM EDT				
Webster	alex.webster@dep.state.fl.us	Jun 05, 2014 04:22 PM EDT				850-595-0664
Dokken	annette.dokken@shell.com	Jun 16, 2014 02:39 PM EDT				

Consulting	Global Environment Alliance, LLC	No	Q: Dan Bicknell - Global Environment Alliance A: --unanswered--
Consulting	Geosyntec Consultants	No	Q: The EPA RAGs PRA guidance is a little dated relative to this tox value issue. The use of the benchmark dose approach has increased over the past several years and this opens the door for use of this information in PRAs. A: --unanswered--
		No	
Government - State & Local	FDEP	No	
		No	