

## **APPENDIX I-3**

# **Florida Department of Environmental Protection Division of Air Resource Management**

## **Regional Haze SIP – Public Comment Email Campaign**

The Department received 319 comparatively identical email comments on Florida's Pre-Hearing Regional Haze SIP Submittal.

**From:** [Hava Villaverde](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 6:39:13 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Hava Villaverde  
115 E Concord St  
Orlando, FL 32801

**From:** [william rowe](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:35:35 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
william rowe  
246 Via Russo Ln  
Lake Mary, FL 32746

**From:** [James Bucolo](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:59:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
James Bucolo  
225 Deer Creek Rd  
Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

**From:** [Antje Munroe](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:17:59 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Antje Munroe  
7463 Cabbage Palm Ct  
Sarasota, FL 34241

**From:** [Susan Sullivan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:17:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Sullivan  
1123 85th Terrace N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33702

**From:** [Joel Jacobson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:16:24 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joel Jacobson  
10504 E Clairmont Cir  
Tamarac, FL 33321

**From:** [Pamela Sennott](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:24:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Pamela Sennott  
4890 Flagstone Dr  
Sarasota, FL 34238



**From:** [Cathy Delia](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:16:16 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cathy Delia  
3774 SE 6th Ave  
Cape Coral, FL 33904

**From:** [Joel Jacobson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:15:48 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joel Jacobson  
10504 E Clairmont Cir  
Tamarac, FL 33321

**From:** [Charles Lockett](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:15:40 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Charles Lockett  
13705 Halliford Dr  
Tampa, FL 33624

**From:** [Jacqui Tomaszewski](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:04:34 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jacqui Tomaszewski  
2070 Bonnie Oaks Dr  
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

**From:** [Ronald Brown](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:07:46 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ronald Brown  
149 Hollow Branch Rd  
Apopka, FL 32703

**From:** [s l](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:13:29 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
s l  
1001 Brickell Bay Dr  
Miami, FL 33131

**From:** [Peter Gregov](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:48:35 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Peter Gregov  
7823 Manatee Ave W  
Bradenton, FL 34209

**From:** [s serne](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:31:04 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
s serne  
4111 Dellbrook Dr  
Tampa, FL 33624



**From:** [Joyce Nelson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:40:54 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joyce Nelson  
2535 Inagua Ave  
Miami, FL 33133

**From:** [D. Gore](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:13:09 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
D. Gore  
1236 Maravista Dr  
Trinity, FL 34655

**From:** [Danielle Barcion](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:57:44 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Danielle Barcion  
2930 Day Ave  
Miami, FL 33133

**From:** [Joyce Hamilton](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:48 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joyce Hamilton  
2884 SE Treasure Island Rd  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34952

**From:** [Patricia Manos](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Patricia Manos  
13209 Palermo Dr  
Bradenton, FL 34211

**From:** [kenneth gillette](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:06 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
kenneth gillette  
1040 Tevis St SE  
Palm Bay, FL 32909

**From:** [Marc Maslov](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:05 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marc Maslov  
250 S Beach Rd  
Jupiter, FL 33469

**From:** [Carol Clark](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:52:47 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carol Clark  
8495 91st Terrace  
Seminole, FL 33777



**From:** [Susan Dannelly](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:05 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Dannelly  
4010 Lewis Speedway  
Saint Augustine, FL 32084

**From:** [Ricky Sloan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 12:04:21 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ricky Sloan  
1037 Tryon Cir  
Spring Hill, FL 34606

**From:** [Michael Brandes](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:03 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Brandes  
7789 Lexington Club Blvd  
Delray Beach, FL 33446

**From:** [Kalyn Drexl](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:16:35 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kalyn Drexl  
130 Town Center Blvd  
Clermont, FL 34714

**From:** [Maria Fernandez](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:03 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Maria Fernandez  
9708 Costa Del Sol Blvd  
Doral, FL 33178

**From:** [Ellen Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:43:27 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ellen Smith  
4442 Novato Ct  
Naples, FL 34109

**From:** [Susan DeWitt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:54:13 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan DeWitt  
328 Twin Lake Dr  
Largo, FL 33770

**From:** [John Adams](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
John Adams  
141 Palm Way  
Pinetta, FL 32350



**From:** [Shannon Lemos](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:55:17 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Shannon Lemos  
22361 Sands Point Dr  
Boca Raton, FL 33433

**From:** [Cara Capp](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:01 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

Clean air is essential for Florida's public health and our beautiful natural resources. As the State is investing significant funding in advancing restoration of the Everglades and the surrounding estuary communities, we must also ensure that our air quality is not a limiting factor in achieving overall ecosystem and community health.

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cara Capp  
6728 Hollandaire Dr W  
Boca Raton, FL 33433

**From:** [Theresa Lianzi](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:07:30 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Theresa Lianzi  
10444 Severino Ln  
Fort Myers, FL 33913

**From:** [Judy Moran](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:12:01 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Judy Moran  
6109 N Star Dr  
Panama City, FL 32404

**From:** [Judith Peter](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:11:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Judith Peter  
2184 Pellam Blvd  
Port Charlotte, FL 33948

**From:** [Darrin Mckeehen](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:11:56 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Darrin Mckeehen  
1015 Ibis Rd  
Jacksonville, FL 32216

**From:** [John Dervin](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:11:52 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
John Dervin  
3638 Craigsher Dr  
Apopka, FL 32712

**From:** [Scott Finamore](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:55:07 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Scott Finamore  
3122 W Higgins Pl  
Citrus Springs, FL 34433



**From:** [Geri Ott](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:11:51 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Geri Ott  
2643 Clyde St  
Matlacha, FL 33993

**From:** [Laura Staples](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:11:50 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Laura Staples  
5792 Whistlewood Cir  
Sarasota, FL 34232

**From:** [Quida Jacobs](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:55:09 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Quida Jacobs  
1220 Marseille Dr  
Miami Beach, FL 33141

**From:** [John Booth](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:39:46 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

As a native Floridian, old enough (at 71) to remember Florida parks and attractions in the past, this issue matters to me. I think it matters at least as much to young people,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
John Booth  
PO Box 419  
Madison, FL 32341

**From:** [Kendra Williams](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 12:01:18 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kendra Williams  
13034 Clay Ave  
Largo, FL 33773

**From:** [Judi Calvi](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:50:54 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Judi Calvi  
2940 Wood Pointe Dr  
Holiday, FL 34691

**From:** [Dorian Atchison](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:00:21 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Dorian Atchison  
10275 227th Ln  
Live Oak, FL 32060

**From:** [Donna Sutton](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:51:04 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Donna Sutton  
21717 Baccarat Ln  
Estero, FL 33928



**From:** [Laura Alleman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:53:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Laura Alleman  
830 Augustine Rd  
Quincy, FL 32351

**From:** [Carole Butler](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:59:21 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carole Butler  
3214 Shamrock St E  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

**From:** [Tony Miragliotta](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:58:38 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Tony Miragliotta  
323 Oriole Rd  
Venice, FL 34293

**From:** [Gavi Stevens](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:51:56 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gavi Stevens  
460 Deville Dr E  
Largo, FL 33771

**From:** [N Coyle](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:58:37 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
N Coyle  
1820 NE Jensen Beach Blvd  
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

**From:** [Gilda Levinson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:58:18 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gilda Levinson  
8183 NW 14th St  
Coral Springs, FL 33071

**From:** [Debbie Griffin](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:56:56 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Debbie Griffin  
9524 Crown Prince Ln  
Windermere, FL 34786

**From:** [RICHARD SILVESTRI](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:56:08 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
RICHARD SILVESTRI  
5708 Buchanan Dr  
Fort Pierce, FL 34982



**From:** [Chang-Yu Wu](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:44:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Chang-Yu Wu  
2405 NW 91 Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32606

**From:** [Jill Beran](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:39:20 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jill Beran  
6284 NW 45th Terrace  
Coconut Creek, FL 33073

**From:** [Grover Gregory](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:55:43 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Grover Gregory  
2015 Rockledge Dr  
Rockledge, FL 32955

**From:** [Marlene Stalter](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:55:42 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I'm a 5th generation native Floridian who's seen the destruction of our environment over my 70 years.

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marlene Stalter  
3419 Annette Ct  
Clearwater, FL 33761

**From:** [Catherine Puma](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:55:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Catherine Puma  
51 SW 11th St  
Miami, FL 33130

**From:** [Michelle Barros](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 11:50:58 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michelle Barros  
9305 SW 44th St  
Miami, FL 33165

**From:** [Nancy Reed](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:53:36 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nancy Reed  
2040 84th St Cir NW  
Bradenton, FL 34209

**From:** [Anita James](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:54:31 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Anita James  
3201 Kilbee St  
Mims, FL 32754



**From:** [Susan Davis](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 12:01:40 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Davis  
9108 CR 645  
Bushnell, FL 33513

**From:** [Larry Lewis](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:39:07 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Larry Lewis  
1555 Victoria Way  
Winter Garden, FL 34787

**From:** [Catherine McNamara](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:38:57 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Catherine McNamara  
2152 Torchwood Dr  
Orlando, FL 32828

**From:** [Karen Ballam](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:43:34 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Karen Ballam  
4602 Co Rd 673  
Bushnell, FL 33513

**From:** [Reginald Spengler](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:51:54 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Reginald Spengler  
3105 S Jean Ave  
Inverness, FL 34450

**From:** [Patricia Ryan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:38:54 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Patricia Ryan  
3330 Alysheba Dr  
Cantonment, FL 32533

**From:** [Gail Ricci](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:36:52 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gail Ricci  
7074 Horizon Cir  
Windermere, FL 34786

**From:** [David Bosco](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:36:22 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
David Bosco  
11276 SW Lake Park Dr  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34987



**From:** [Audrey Peterman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:36:21 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Audrey Peterman  
11200 NW 20th Ct  
Plantation, FL 33323

**From:** [Susan Campbell](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:41:39 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Campbell  
472 Arbella Loop  
The Villages, FL 32162

**From:** [Pam Ewald](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:54:52 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Pam Ewald  
328 Euclid Ave  
Miami Beach, FL 33139

**From:** [Sherry Carroll](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:48:37 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Sherry Carroll  
11345 SW 53rd Terrace  
Miami, FL 33165

**From:** [Patricia McDonald](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:36:19 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Patricia McDonald  
2348 Summerfield Rd  
Winter Park, FL 32792

**From:** [Anthony Witlin](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:36:18 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Anthony Witlin  
6079 Bayou Grande Blvd NE  
St. Petersburg, FL 33703

**From:** [THERESA JOHNSON](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:43:26 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
THERESA JOHNSON  
161 Martin St  
Labelle, FL 33935

**From:** [James Caumo](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 7:06:47 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
James Caumo  
17 N Fernwood Dr  
Rockledge, FL 32955



**From:** [ULLA REEVES](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:29:08 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
ULLA REEVES  
221 Fairfax Ave  
Asheville, NC 28806

**From:** [Lisa Annecone](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:34:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lisa Annecone  
PO Box 1017  
Englewood, FL 34295

**From:** [Susan Severino](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 7:12:38 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Severino  
33 S Scenic Hwy  
Frostproof, FL 33843

**From:** [Nan Arre](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:34:18 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nan Arre  
3150 NE 36th Ave  
Ocala, FL 34479

**From:** [Linda Schiffer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:33:38 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Linda Schiffer  
146 Chippendale Terrace  
Oviedo, FL 32765

**From:** [Margaret Wright](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:32:55 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Margaret Wright  
1813 Palo Alto Ave  
The Villages, FL 32159

**From:** [Jennifer Scott](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:32:23 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jennifer Scott  
17280 Whitewater Ct  
Fort Myers Beach, FL 33931

**From:** [Gizella Flippin](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:47:55 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gizella Flippin  
4221 NW 57th Ave  
Ocala, FL 34482



**From:** [Eileen Lohrer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:53:47 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Eileen Lohrer  
9240 Fox Sparrow Rd  
Tampa, FL 33626

**From:** [Bradley Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:05:44 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Bradley Smith  
2809 NE 2nd Pl  
Cape Coral, FL 33909

**From:** [Ann Millar](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:32:03 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ann Millar  
1873 Ocean Village Pl  
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

**From:** [katrina.gergely](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:01:47 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
katrina.gergely  
5135 Crestwood Rd  
Pensacola, FL 32503

**From:** [Karol Bryan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:52 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Karol Bryan  
4438 Hunting Trail  
Lake Worth, FL 33467

**From:** [Eileen Fonferko](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:49 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Eileen Fonferko  
3345 Alfred Rd  
North Port, FL 34286

**From:** [Cindy Sheaks](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:49 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cindy Sheaks  
18934 NW 91st Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018

**From:** [Peter Broderson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:48 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Peter Broderson  
608 Fulton Rd  
Tallahassee, FL 32312



**From:** [Dawn Loesch](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:20:30 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Dawn Loesch  
1053 Pinewood Lake Ct  
Greenacres, FL 33415

**From:** [Maggie Davidson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:20:29 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Maggie Davidson  
750 Pine Dr  
Pompano Beach, FL 33060

**From:** [Michael Wagner](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:48 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Wagner  
2201 SW 98th Terrace  
Davie, FL 33324

**From:** [Frances Macintyre](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:47:39 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

Florida's parks and people need clean air for survival. Please do your job to make that happen.

Regards,

Frances Macintyre

409 Viscaya Ave

[Submit your message](#)

[Send Comment](#)

[Opt-in to receive communications from this campaign](#)

Yes, I want to receive emails from NPCA.

Yes, I want to receive text messages from NPCA.

By participating in this activity, I agree with NPCA's terms of registration and privacy policy.

2021. All rights reserved. Subject to Privacy Policy and Terms of Registration and Privacy Policy.

Regards,

Frances Macintyre

409 Viscaya Ave

Coral Gables, FL 33134

**From:** [Jerome Bobruff](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:05:28 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jerome Bobruff  
7928 Tiger Lily Dr  
Naples, FL 34113

**From:** [Maria Cicarelli](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:09 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

please wildlife full of shit you are doing just evil work by not being committed to Nature pieces of shit republicans let me tell you if you continue this not even your fucking kids will have a life I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Maria Cicarelli  
11199 N Kendall Dr  
Miami, FL 33176

**From:** [JOHN FERNANDEZ](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:53:34 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
JOHN FERNANDEZ  
18760 Jolson Ave  
Boca Raton, FL 33496

**From:** [Marsha Ross](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:05 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marsha Ross  
107 15th St  
Palm Harbor, FL 34683



**From:** [Melissa Abdo](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:07:38 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Melissa Abdo  
450 N Park Rd  
Hollywood, FL 33021

**From:** [Nancy Roy](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:49:30 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nancy Roy  
1880 SW 83rd Ave  
Davie, FL 33324

**From:** [Gail Flanders](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:40:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gail Flanders  
2509 Indian Mound Trail  
Coral Gables, FL 33134

**From:** [Marianne Nelson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:47:54 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marianne Nelson  
1710 Patricia St  
Key West, FL 33040

**From:** [Monica Smilko](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:46:30 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Monica Smilko  
8254 Moncrief Dinsmore Rd  
Jacksonville, FL 32219

**From:** [julie harrison](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:32:28 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
julie harrison  
3225 Hanson Ave  
Melbourne, FL 32901

**From:** [Peter Gregov](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:49:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Peter Gregov  
7823 Manatee Ave W  
Bradenton, FL 34209

**From:** [Deborah Bowersock](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:45:05 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Deborah Bowersock  
2202 SE Walton Lakes Dr  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34952



**From:** [John Dieffenbach](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:03:29 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
John Dieffenbach  
2040 SE 172nd Ave  
Silver Springs, FL 34488

**From:** [Samatha Schwing](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:24:11 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Samatha Schwing  
8040 Palm Pkwy  
Orlando, FL 32836

**From:** [julie harrison](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:32:02 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
julie harrison  
3225 Hanson Ave  
Melbourne, FL 32901

**From:** [Arline Rocco](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:47:28 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Arline Rocco  
65 Heron Dr  
Palm Coast, FL 32137

**From:** [Cynthia Hobgood](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:53:10 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cynthia Hobgood  
10901 Seaglade Dr  
Pensacola, FL 32507

**From:** [Donald Crosby](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:33:02 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Donald Crosby  
1120 Old Fort Dr  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

**From:** [Barbara Lindsey](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 6:59:34 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Barbara Lindsey  
545 Nix Rd  
Pensacola, FL 32506

**From:** [Leonard Marraffino](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 7:48:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Leonard Marraffino  
707 Aurelia St  
Boca Raton, FL 33486



**From:** [Tami Hillman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 7:33:50 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Tami Hillman  
137 Oak Ave  
Cocoa Beach, FL 32931

**From:** [Debra Cullough](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 6:54:19 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Debra Cullough  
29779 Deerback Ct  
Hilliard, FL 32046

**From:** [Loren Wieland](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:33:36 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Loren Wieland  
8401 E Cypress Dr  
Pembroke Pines, FL 33025

**From:** [Marya Repko](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:57:53 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

We wore face masks because of the COVID pandemic. Do we also have to wear them when we visit our parks because of air pollution???

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marya Repko  
102 E Broadway  
Everglades City, FL 34139

**From:** [Christine Moreno](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 6:12:08 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Christine Moreno  
4840 NE 5th Terrace  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334

**From:** [Craig Nickel](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:23:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Craig Nickel  
1801 US-1  
Jupiter, FL 33477

**From:** [Paul Zimmerman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 6:10:32 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades & Big Cypress that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Paul Zimmerman  
4722 French St  
Jacksonville, FL 32205

**From:** [Miriam Hemphill](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:48:38 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Miriam Hemphill  
6724 NW 34th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32653



**From:** [Marie-Anne Phillips](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:59:58 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marie-Anne Phillips  
1 Bonny Brae Ct  
Sunbury, Vic 3429

**From:** [Susan Couture](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:50:35 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Couture  
4345 NW Oakbrook Cir  
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

**From:** [Daved Wachsman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:32:42 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Daved Wachsman  
2819 Unity Tree Dr  
Edgewater, FL 32141

**From:** [diane cote](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:27:11 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
diane cote  
6651 Hopi Trail  
Leesburg, FL 34748

**From:** [Daved Wachsman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:24:07 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Daved Wachsman  
2819 Unity Tree Dr  
Edgewater, FL 32141

**From:** [Steven Zeit](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:11:23 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Steven Zeit  
1221 Island Ave NW  
Palm Bay, FL 32907

**From:** [Margaret Handley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:57:37 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Margaret Handley  
6417 Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32819

**From:** [Laura Ramon](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 3:26:04 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Laura Ramon  
101 Summit Ct  
Niceville, FL 32578



**From:** [Gaetano Amato](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:42:23 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gaetano Amato  
8708 Whispering Pines Dr  
Saint James City, FL 33956

**From:** [Mary Babineau](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:00:48 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Mary Babineau  
1055 43rd Ave N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33703

**From:** [Michael Kennedy](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:29:47 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Kennedy  
512 Santavita Pl  
Kissimmee, FL 34759

**From:** [Eric Hensgen](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 2:26:54 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Eric Hensgen  
307 S Arrawana Ave  
Tampa, FL 33609

**From:** [Tuan Nguyen](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:32:36 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Tuan Nguyen  
17816 Mission Oak Dr  
Lithia, FL 33547

**From:** [Benjamin Oppenheim](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 2:17:15 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Benjamin Oppenheim  
14120 SW 72nd Ave  
Palmetto Bay, FL 33158

**From:** [MarySue Baker](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:48:37 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Mary Sue Baker  
Sarasota, FL

Regards,  
MarySue Baker  
3737 Bahia Vista St  
Sarasota, FL 34232

**From:** [David Hopkins](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:49:47 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
David Hopkins  
2713 Northridge Dr E  
Clearwater, FL 33761



**From:** [James Robertson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:45:08 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
James Robertson  
24195 US Hwy 19 N  
Clearwater, FL 33763

**From:** [Lucille Serody](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:47:15 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lucille Serody  
3406 Mazur Dr  
Melbourne, FL 32901

**From:** [Deborah Sudduth](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:00:28 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Deborah Sudduth  
4710 Rosebud St  
Cocoa, FL 32927

**From:** [Kelly Walker](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:58:00 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kelly Walker  
3860 NE 170th St  
North Miami Beach, FL 33160

**From:** [Nataliya Yakovleva](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:50:24 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nataliya Yakovleva  
2528 14th Ave SW  
Largo, FL 33770

**From:** [Jessica Taliaferro](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:54 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jessica Taliaferro  
958 Raleigh Rd SE  
Palm Bay, FL 32909

**From:** [James Hunt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:15:50 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I want cleaner air!

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
James Hunt  
11659 Wakefield Dr  
Pensacola, FL 32514

**From:** [Denise Hosta](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:31:46 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Denise Hosta  
12011 Rock Brook Run  
Fort Myers, FL 33913



**From:** [Judith Elliott](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:25:11 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Judith Elliott  
1073 Sherrington Rd  
Orlando, FL 32804

**From:** [Rachel Friedland](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 2:40:44 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Rachel Friedland  
16340 Cagan Oaks  
Clermont, FL 34714

**From:** [Tina Beedle](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:05:11 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Tina Beedle  
6324 Blueberry St  
Milton, FL 32570

**From:** [Eithne Clarke](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:32:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Eithne Clarke  
5409 Shingle Creek Dr  
Orlando, FL 32821

**From:** [Carola Tschiemer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:56:23 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carola Tschiemer  
376 N Lakeside Dr  
Satellite Beach, FL 32937

**From:** [Gary Higel](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:38:26 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gary Higel  
3785 Slayton Ave  
North Port, FL 34286

**From:** [Marcine McBride](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 12:43:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marcine McBride  
4850 Tiverton Ct  
Melbourne, FL 32934

**From:** [Terrance Hood](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:15:31 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Terrance Hood  
16735 Alexander Run  
Jupiter, FL 33478



**From:** [Cynthia Marks](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 8:09:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cynthia Marks  
300 N Hwy A1A  
Jupiter, FL 33477

**From:** [Alice Gard](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:45:48 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Alice Gard  
1705 Gordon Dr  
Naples, FL 34102

**From:** [Anne Peterson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:52:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies. I'm sure these issues would be more prevalent if they were more visible.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector. This kind of clearing is done in India to great detriment- aren't we above that?

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Anne Peterson  
299 Crossway Rd  
Tallahassee, FL 32305

**From:** [Helen Drwinga](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:49:38 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Helen Drwinga  
621 Robin E Ln  
Apopka, FL 32712

**From:** [Anthony Hardie](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:34:15 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Anthony Hardie  
6024 28th St W  
Bradenton, FL 34207

**From:** [Priscilla Wagner](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:33:51 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Priscilla Wagner  
114 Michigan Blvd  
Dunedin, FL 34698

**From:** [Jane Marquet](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:46:26 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jane Marquet  
8318 Elijo Ct  
Englewood, FL 34223

**From:** [Lois Milne](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:55:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lois Milne  
6800 Gulfport Blvd S  
South Pasadena, FL 33707



**From:** [Wendy Weldon](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:55:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Wendy Weldon  
6045 Winding Brooke Way  
Delray Beach, FL 33484

**From:** [Diana Cowans](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:15:03 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies. I want my children and grandchildren to enjoy clean air and clean water. I don't think that's too much to ask, but I know we have to fight to get it. And we are relying on you to help us in this fight.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Diana Cowans  
5729 Fishermans Dr  
Bradenton, FL 34209

**From:** [Michael Nutini](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:14:09 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Nutini  
2915 SW 15th St  
Delray Beach, FL 33445

**From:** [Glenn Barclift](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:03:57 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Glenn Barclift  
15204 Bareback Dr  
Jacksonville, FL 32234

**From:** [Ms Smenos](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:19:53 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ms Smenos  
1704 Pelican Cove Rd  
Sarasota, FL 34231

**From:** [Ann Craig](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:11:07 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ann Craig  
1226 Derby Ln  
Siesta Key, FL 34242

**From:** [M Klein](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:11:07 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
M Klein  
1804 NW 51st Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32605

**From:** [Frans De Calonne](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:58:55 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Frans De Calonne  
18202 Glenmoor Dr  
West Palm Beach, FL 33409



**From:** [Chey Richmond](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:07:50 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Chey Richmond  
3009 E Lee St  
Pensacola, FL 32503

**From:** [Gwynette Krulisky](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:05:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gwynette Krulisky  
4380 Indrio Rd  
Fort Pierce, FL 34951

**From:** [Stanley Sherry](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:54:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Stanley Sherry  
3838 Hibiscus St  
Sarasota, FL 34232

**From:** [Wendy Herron](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 7:01:20 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Wendy Herron  
160 Sabal Dr  
Fort Myers Beach, FL 33931

**From:** [Carol Latchana](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:54:42 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carol Latchana  
6454 Chatham View Ct  
Windermere, FL 34786

**From:** [Kim Raubolt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:55:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kim Raubolt  
1318 Myerlee Country Club Blvd  
Fort Myers, FL 33919

**From:** [Roger Uhlich](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:46:34 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Roger Uhlich  
22 Carisa Royale Ct  
Englewood, FL 34223

**From:** [Barbara Singer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:39:31 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Barbara Singer  
7880 NW 51st St  
Lauderhill, FL 33351



**From:** [Jen H](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:39:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jen H  
3215 FL-77  
Panama City, FL 32405

**From:** [Fred Kozak](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:33:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Fred Kozak  
4907 N Lupine Terrace  
Beverly Hills, FL 34465

**From:** [Mark Fox](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:32:29 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Mark Fox  
7820 Gun Cay Ave  
Orlando, FL 32822

**From:** [Myra Dewhurst](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:48:53 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Myra Dewhurst  
3841 Casey Key Rd  
Nokomis, FL 34275

**From:** [Marguerite Donnay](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:10:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Marguerite Donnay  
1032 Ironsides Ave  
Melbourne, FL 32940

**From:** [Randy Raspotnik](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:42:05 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Randy Raspotnik  
4266 Fox Hollow Cir  
Casselberry, FL 32707

**From:** [Victoria Olson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:25:18 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Victoria Olson  
4170 NW 11th Ave  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

**From:** [Karen Christakos](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 6:22:01 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Karen Christakos  
384 Mirabelle Dr  
Pensacola, FL 32514



**From:** [ginger brewer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:48:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
ginger brewer  
1024 Willow Lake Cir  
Pensacola, FL 32506

**From:** [Mitzi Priest](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 10:29:48 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Mitzi Priest  
57530 Morton St  
Marathon, FL 33050

**From:** [Wendi Myers](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 11:18:02 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Wendi Myers  
8588 Ostrom Way  
Spring Hill, FL 34613

**From:** [Rochelle Beck](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:05:29 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Rochelle Beck  
7235 SW 54th Ave  
Miami, FL 33143

**From:** [elizabeth major](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:44:43 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I very deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. PLEASE please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
elizabeth major  
1900 Reserve Blvd  
Gulf Breeze, FL 32563

**From:** [Martine Bouillaud](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:51:45 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Martine Bouillaud  
653 Michigan Ave  
Miami Beach, FL 33139

**From:** [Barbara Vilaro](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:47:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Barbara Vilaro  
602 Rubens Dr  
Nokomis, FL 34275

**From:** [Barbara Joyce](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:39:18 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Barbara Joyce  
6820 Muncaster Ct  
Tampa, FL 33625



**From:** [Billy Fehrs](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 6:13:35 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Billy Fehrs  
755 Cameron Dr  
Orange Park, FL 32073

**From:** [Sue Rosenstein](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 11:34:44 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Sue Rosenstein  
2784 SW Monarch Trail  
Stuart, FL 34997

**From:** [Michelle Mondragon](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:27:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michelle Mondragon  
601 Hermits Trail  
Altamonte Springs, FL 32701

**From:** [A W](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:26:04 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
A W  
16221 SW 287th St  
Homestead, FL 33033

**From:** [Lauren Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:43:46 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lauren Johnson  
217 Highlands Glen Cir  
Winter Springs, FL 32708

**From:** [Kathleen Kelley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:25:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kathleen Kelley  
7161 Sandpoint Dr  
Brooksville, FL 34601

**From:** [Kelly Helenihi](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:23:53 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kelly Helenihi  
3766 Lake Shore Dr  
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

**From:** [Julia West](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:57:11 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Julia West  
54th St S  
FL 33707



**From:** [Harriet Lawrence](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:21:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Harriet Lawrence  
411 Walnut St  
Green Cove Springs, FL 32043

**From:** [Lynn Snyder](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:16:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lynn Snyder  
14031 76th Rd N  
Loxahatchee, FL 33470

**From:** [Lynette Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:44:42 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lynette Smith  
1710 NW 23rd St  
Cape Coral, FL 33993

**From:** [Elizabeth Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:42:55 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Elizabeth Smith  
7280 SW 53rd Ave  
Miami, FL 33143

**From:** [Ira Rhodes](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:16:24 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ira Rhodes  
11314 120th Terrace N  
Largo, FL 33778

**From:** [Doug Dyer](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:15:05 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Doug Dyer  
29003 NW 182 Terrace  
Alachua, FL 32615

**From:** [Karyn Sederberg](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:45:46 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Karyn Sederberg  
4534 Frances Dr  
Delray Beach, FL 33445

**From:** [Susanne Hesse](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:14:17 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susanne Hesse  
29003 NW 182 Terrace  
Alachua, FL 32615



**From:** [Joseph Rindler](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:33:41 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joseph Rindler  
433 Deerwood Dr  
Fredericksburg, VA 22401

**From:** [Jeannie Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:00:28 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jeannie Smith  
628 Stonefield Loop  
Heathrow, FL 32746

**From:** [Brenda Ruzbasan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:13:41 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Brenda Ruzbasan  
1711 Western Rd  
South Daytona, FL 32119

**From:** [Carole Greene](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:04:54 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades. These provide homes for treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carole Greene  
1773 Marsh Run  
Naples, FL 34109

**From:** [Don Chambers](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:00:22 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Don Chambers  
405 Conn Way  
Vero Beach, FL 32963

**From:** [Vicki Matheny](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 3:00:17 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Vicki Matheny  
114 S Spoonbill Dr  
Palm Coast, FL 32164

**From:** [Karen Fisk](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:27:31 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and that provide recreational sanctuaries for visitors.

Ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air. I am disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and, especially, fertilizer facilities (Mosaic) is insufficient when upgrades could offer new clean air gains.

Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan.

Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning was entirely omitted from review and that emissions from sugar cane facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color. The state must reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that FL DEP please revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please safeguard Florida's natural resources and ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air.

Regards,  
Karen Fisk  
107 Australian Dr  
Rotonda West, FL 33947

**From:** [Cynthia Irene](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:52:16 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cynthia Irene  
Lost Creek Terrace  
FL 34211



**From:** [Alison Carville](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:54:44 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Alison Carville  
19049 Bartow Blvd  
Fort Myers, FL 33967

**From:** [A Sid](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:58:23 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
A Sid  
8338 SW 157th Ct  
Miami, FL 33193

**From:** [Iris Gladd](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:44:38 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Iris Gladd  
103 Camino Trail  
Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082

**From:** [Christine Ortiz](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:49:45 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Christine Ortiz  
2205 Mcintosh Ct  
Jacksonville, FL 32259

**From:** [Cynthia Iannacone](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:45:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cynthia Iannacone  
206 NE 15th Ave  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

**From:** [Cesarina Somogy](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 10:28:55 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cesarina Somogy  
3680 17th Ave SW  
Naples, FL 34117

**From:** [Glenn Haig](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:49:19 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Glenn Haig  
3865 Treasure Cove Cir  
Naples, FL 34114

**From:** [Rhonda Carter](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:48:59 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Rhonda Carter  
12202 Tinamou Ave  
Brooksville, FL 34614



**From:** [Lisa Tichenor](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:43:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lisa Tichenor  
770 S Palm Ave  
Sarasota, FL 34236

**From:** [Lisa Tichenor](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:43:28 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lisa Tichenor  
770 S Palm Ave  
Sarasota, FL 34236

**From:** [Melissa Abdo](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:41:10 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

FDEP staff,

As a native Floridian our air is critical to our livelihoods, our economy, our very way of life in the state of Florida. As you have taken roles as public servants tasked with the goal of protecting our air quality, I believe that you can do better to improve this plan based upon the sound and feasible recommendations that NPCA has set out.

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Melissa Abdo  
450 N Park Rd  
Hollywood, FL 33021

**From:** [Vince Lopez](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 7:19:13 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Vince Lopez  
129 Reserve Cir  
Oviedo, FL 32765

**From:** [Robert Reige](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:42:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Robert Reige  
15570 Old Olga Rd  
Alva, FL 33920

**From:** [Susan Volpitta](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:36:24 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Volpitta  
5436 E Butler Rd  
Avon Park, FL 33825

**From:** [LAURA PRICE](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:29:09 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
LAURA PRICE  
185 Magneta Loop  
Auburndale, FL 33823

**From:** [Alison Carville](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:53:38 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Alison Carville  
19049 Bartow Blvd  
Fort Myers, FL 33967



**From:** [Daniel Gormley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 3:36:32 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Daniel Gormley  
8209 46th Ct E  
Sarasota, FL 34243

**From:** [Rickert Tuck](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:52:01 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Rickert Tuck  
713 Satin Leaf Ave  
Oldsmar, FL 34677

**From:** [Trish Dobereiner](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 3:06:41 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Trish Dobereiner  
7314 Bagley Cove Ct  
Sun City Center, FL 33573

**From:** [Sylvia Richey](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:50:06 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Sylvia Richey  
7410 Lake Breeze Dr  
Fort Myers, FL 33907

**From:** [Rebecca Muzychka](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:48:35 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Rebecca Muzychka  
1348 Holly Heights Dr  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33304

**From:** [Sheridan Lorraine](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:49:37 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Sheridan Lorraine  
266 Lake Shore Dr  
Merritt Island, FL 32953

**From:** [Kenneth Eberly](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:46:48 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kenneth Eberly  
9942 SW 75th St Rd  
Ocala, FL 34481

**From:** [Dave Griswold](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:40:00 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Dave Griswold  
1041 SW 17th St  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33315



**From:** [Ralph Shannon](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:39:00 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ralph Shannon  
15717 Bertram Dr  
Hudson, FL 34667

**From:** [Mary Bobb](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:38:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Mary Bobb  
47 NW 100th Terrace  
Miami Shores, FL 33150

**From:** [Carla Garbin](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:41:59 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Carla Garbin  
700 Beach Dr NE  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

**From:** [Henry Riley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:26:28 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Henry Riley  
37131 Howard Ave  
Dade City, FL 33525

**From:** [Christine Gasco](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:35:15 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Christine Gasco  
1615 Stonehaven Way  
Tarpon Springs, FL 34689

**From:** [Aurelie Kergoat](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:30:57 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Aurelie Kergoat  
1865 Brickell Ave  
Miami, FL 33129

**From:** [Joni Mulder](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:11:28 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joni Mulder  
4212 Spicetree St  
Venice, FL 34293

**From:** [Martha Singleton](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:41:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Martha Singleton  
6600 SW 79th Ave  
Miami, FL 33143



**From:** [Stephanie Pierce](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:30:18 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Stephanie Pierce  
6007 SW 27th Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32608

**From:** [robert cobb](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:47:30 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
robert cobb  
821 View Harbour Rd  
Knoxville, TN 37934

**From:** [Whitney watters](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:53:57 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Whitney watters  
24 Riberia St  
St. Augustine, FL 32084

**From:** [Regina Barakat](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:41:09 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Regina Barakat  
7550 Hinson St  
Orlando, FL 32819

**From:** [Kimberly Schmidt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:30:15 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kimberly Schmidt  
2449 Scaup Pl  
De Leon Springs, FL 32130

**From:** [Dietlinde Wolf](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:29:09 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Dietlinde Wolf  
1501 NW 10th Ave  
Miami, FL 33136

**From:** [Jeri Romero](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:22:14 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

The beautiful trees are gone so are the coral reefs. We have through selfishness and negligence destroyed our natural world here in Florida. The greedy developers will kill us all including there own

Regards,  
Jeri Romero  
401 Golden Isles Dr  
Hallandale Beach, FL 33009

**From:** [Joan Balfour](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:27:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joan Balfour  
6249 Copper Lake Ct  
Boynton Beach, FL 33437



**From:** [FRED DAVIS](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:37:41 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas, and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal are unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp, and paper mills, and fertilizer facilities are insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, were entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between. Respectfully I remain FRED R DAVIS, (MRTAL)

Regards,  
FRED DAVIS  
2250 N Spring Glade Cir  
Tampa, FL 33613

**From:** [Cyndi Hunt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:27:05 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cyndi Hunt  
960 Towhee Rd  
Tallahassee, FL 32305

**From:** [Margaret Thomas](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:23:21 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Margaret Thomas  
31442 Shaker Cir  
Wesley Chapel, FL 33543

**From:** [Andrew Simek](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:22:07 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Andrew Simek  
903 49th Ave Dr W  
Bradenton, FL 34207

**From:** [Dr Dunn](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:20:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Dr Dunn  
322 Sunrise Cir  
Neptune Beach, FL 32266

**From:** [April Bravenec](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:48:27 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
April Bravenec  
13039 Killian St  
Spring Hill, FL 34609

**From:** [Linda Jennings](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:41:13 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Linda Jennings  
1398 Middle Gulf Dr  
Sanibel, FL 33957

**From:** [Allen Smith](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:18:11 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Allen Smith  
209 Lincoln Blvd  
Lehigh Acres, FL 33936



**From:** [Michael Violante](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:14:34 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Violante  
2121 S Ocean Blvd  
Pompano Beach, FL 33062

**From:** [Cary Devroedt](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:21:56 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Cary Devroedt  
1731 SW 82 Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32607

**From:** [Doretta Miller](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:11:59 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Doretta Miller  
415 N Washington Ave  
Clearwater, FL 33755

**From:** [Valaina Maher](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 8:34:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Valaina Maher  
1537 Chipmunk Ln  
Oviedo, FL 32765

**From:** [Elizabeth McGoogan](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 11:43:39 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Elizabeth McGoogan  
10091 Chester Lake Rd E  
Jacksonville, FL 32256

**From:** [Victoria Olson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:41:27 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Victoria Olson  
4170 NW 11th Ave  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

**From:** [Ceciy Anne](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:40:20 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ceciy Anne  
14732 Cranberry Ct  
Naples, FL 34114

**From:** [Margie Lynch](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:32:12 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Margie Lynch  
2698 56th Ln N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33710



**From:** [Stacey Solum](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:27:31 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Stacey Solum  
2524 Teal Ave  
Sarasota, FL 34232

**From:** [Gillian Miller](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:31:43 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gillian Miller  
3000 SW 96th Ave  
Miami, FL 33165

**From:** [Nancy Metz](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:30:16 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nancy Metz  
925 SW 52nd St  
Cape Coral, FL 33914

**From:** [Jeffrey Bains](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:30:12 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jeffrey Bains  
1721 Myrtle Beach Dr  
Lady Lake, FL 32159

**From:** [cheryl watters](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:20:36 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
cheryl watters  
500 Jimmy Ann Dr  
Daytona Beach, FL 32114

**From:** [Peg Andrews](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:29:51 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Peg Andrews  
5521 80th St N  
St. Petersburg, FL 33709

**From:** [Jim Hanson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:29:21 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jim Hanson  
1271 Vía Capri  
Winter Park, FL 32789

**From:** [Patty Street](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 2:02:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Patty Street  
568 Rattlesnake Rd  
Fort White, FL 32038



**From:** [Nancy Day](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:10:00 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Nancy Day  
1397 Eden Isle Blvd NE  
St. Petersburg, FL 33704

**From:** [Michael Deloye](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:29:21 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write to you today because I value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to endangered wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the country and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these oversights and omissions. Please safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Michael Deloye  
2500 SW 10th St  
Boynton Beach, FL 33426

**From:** [Suzanne Fejes](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:41:22 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Suzanne Fejes  
2961 NE 18th St  
Pompano Beach, FL 33062

**From:** [Martha James](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:27:52 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Martha James  
2425 Brookside Ave  
Kissimmee, FL 34744

**From:** [Mary Olson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:26:56 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Mary Olson  
315 Grand Magnolia Ave  
Celebration, FL 34747

**From:** [Joey Henson](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:51:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Joey Henson  
11975 Walsh Blvd  
Miami, FL 33184

**From:** [Lorenzo Rivero](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:26:51 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Lorenzo Rivero  
1674 SW 9th St  
Miami, FL 33135

**From:** [Kristi Gabriel](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:17:03 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Kristi Gabriel  
1815 NW 28th Ave  
Cape Coral, FL 33993



**From:** [Ann Goraczko](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:25:58 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ann Goraczko  
1729 NE 178th St  
North Miami Beach, FL 33162

**From:** [Ellen Hall](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:25:32 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Ellen Hall  
2649 Day Lily Run  
The Villages, FL 32162

**From:** [Donald Dybel](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:25:47 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Donald Dybel  
745 Lake Geneva Dr  
St. Augustine, FL 32092

**From:** [Isa Rivero](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:25:44 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Isa Rivero  
1674 SW 9th St  
Miami, FL 33135

**From:** [Robert Nobrega](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:25:33 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Robert Nobrega  
441 Montana Ave  
Davenport, FL 33897

**From:** [Jann Warfield](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:24:56 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Jann Warfield  
3337 Pembroke Dr  
Sarasota, FL 34239

**From:** [Martha Burton](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 1:01:17 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Martha Burton  
11015 Bullrush Terrace  
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34202

**From:** [Susan Walsh](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:41:03 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Susan Walsh  
10215 Hunt Club Ln  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418



**From:** [Gudrun Dennis](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:23:48 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Gudrun Dennis  
5912 NW 26th St  
Gainesville, FL 32653

**From:** [Karen Waltman](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:50:14 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Karen Waltman  
517 Burge Mountain Rd  
Hendersonville, NC 28792

**From:** [Laurel Wright](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 2:08:08 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Laurel Wright  
11920 SE Plutus Ave  
Hobe Sound, FL 33455

**From:** [Yolani Moratz](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:25:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Yolani Moratz  
9100 Taft St  
Pembroke Pines, FL 33024

**From:** [Chris Bishop](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:23:15 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Chris Bishop  
353 Eagle Dr  
Panama City Beach, FL 32407

**From:** [Heather Halbur-Jensen](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:22:10 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Heather Halbur-Jensen  
3745 SW Margolo Ln  
Palm City, FL 34990

**From:** [Caroline Daley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:21:54 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Caroline Daley  
8264 Brown Trout BND  
Victor, ID 83455

**From:** [Alice Wunderland](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:21:02 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Alice Wunderland  
1135 Daytona Ave  
Daytona Beach, FL 32117



**From:** [Catherine Saint-Clair](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 5:10:26 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Catherine Saint-Clair  
5669 SE Ault Ave  
Stuart, FL 34997

**From:** [Linda Lee](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:19:57 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Linda Lee  
450 W Mt Vernon Dr  
Plantation, FL 33325

**From:** [Brian Ainsley](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:19:39 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Brian Ainsley  
1227 Pine Needle Ct  
Altamonte Springs, FL 32714

**From:** [Sara Fitzsimmons](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 12:18:16 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Sara Fitzsimmons  
1708 SW Castinet Ln  
Port St. Lucie, FL 34953

**From:** [Leah Stables](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:47:49 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Leah Stables  
110 Bailey St  
San Mateo, FL 32187

**From:** [Pamela Haun](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 11:47:56 AM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Pamela Haun  
8747 SW 50th Pl  
Cooper City, FL 33328

**From:** [Louisa Solari](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Friday, July 9, 2021 9:08:13 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning. I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities. No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains. Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement. Florida should ensure that any power plant retirement it counts on for pollution reduction is made enforceable through its haze plan. Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review – and emissions from sugar cane point source facilities that should be upgraded are not. This heavily polluting industry near the Everglades disproportionately harms low-income communities and people of color and the state must factor in this reality and reassess emission reductions for the sugar cane sector.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights and omissions. Please be true to your stated vision to safeguard Florida's natural resources and enhance its ecosystems by making critical revisions to your haze plan that will result in cleaner air – for national parks and wilderness areas and all the people who live in between.

Regards,  
Louisa Solari  
1515 NE 128th St  
North Miami, FL 33161

**From:** [Gerald Harper](#)  
**To:** [Kung, Ashley](#)  
**Subject:** We need more haze protections.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:53:53 PM

---

Dear Director Jeff Koerner,

I write today because I deeply value our nation's wilderness areas and national parks like the Everglades that are home to treasured wildlife and ecosystems and provide important recreational sanctuaries for visitors from across the state and around the world. I know that ensuring clean air is critical to protecting their health and vitality as well as preserving my enjoyment of these places through clear views and healthy skies.

As such, I am concerned that FL DEP's proposed Regional Haze plan will not reduce pollution and fails to make reasonable progress toward clean air to benefit these national parks, wilderness areas and the people who live around polluting facilities and agricultural burning.

I am deeply disappointed that Florida has not adequately analyzed nitrogen oxide or particulate matter pollution, nor does it plan to require any new pollution reductions for the reviewed facilities.

No new clean air improvements for Class I areas under this proposal is unacceptable.

Simply relying on existing, suboptimal pollution controls for polluting coal plants, pulp and paper mills and fertilizer facilities is insufficient for this plan when new, cost-effective upgrades are viable and could offer new clean air gains.

Furthermore, a promise to retire a power plant, is not an actual requirement.

Lastly, I am disappointed that the emissions from sugar cane burning, located near the Everglades, was entirely left out from review.

I ask that before finalizing this plan, FL DEP please take the time to revisit these harmful oversights.

Regards,  
Gerald Harper  
3026 Redwood Ave  
Lakeland, FL 33803