Regional Management of Nesting Sea Turtles in the Palm Beach County BMA Area Year 3





Robbin N. Trindell and Erin Leone Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

Outline

- Marine turtle species and distribution
- Monitoring goal
- Sand placement types and analysis
- Nesting and hatch success by species











Monitoring Goal: Impact Assessment

 Separate natural spatial and temporal variability from variation caused by the activity of interest (= impact)





Sea Turtle Monitoring

 Daily surveys began island wide on March 1 and continued through October 31 in the Town of Palm Beach project areas.





Original Sea Turtle Monitoring

- Zones based on R-monuments were marked in the field across the island.
 - 152 zones total
 - 16 Treatment (17,382 feet or 3.292 miles)
 - Beach nourishment, sand placement has occurred in the past or is authorized to occur under the BMA
 - 16 Reference (17,616 feet or 3.336 miles)
 - No history of beach management or activities approved under BMA
 - -44 <u>41</u> Cell-wide (47, 490 feet or 8.99 miles)



Cell-wide Sea Turtle Monitoring All Zones

- Nesting decision (e.g., nest or non-nesting emergence or false crawl) per species;
- The number of nests and false crawls per species were counted for all zones;





Cell-wide Sea Turtle Monitoring All Zones

GPS locations were collected for all nests across the island





Impact and Reference Zones



BMA TURTLE MONITORING SITES

1 inch = 2,000 feet 2011 Aerial Photgraphy by FDOT



Project Summary

Project	R-monuments	Sand type	Placement	Year
Mid-Town				
Nourishment	R-89 to R-102	Offshore Borrow Area	Berm	2015
	R-90 to 93	Offshore Borrow Area	Dune	2016
Interim Phipps				
Project	R-116.5 to R-121	Offshore Borrow Area	Dune	2015
	R-116.5 to R-127	Offshore Borrow Area	Berm	2016
Reach 8 Dune				
Restoration	R-130 t R-134	Offshore Borrow Area	Dune	2015
	R-130 t R-134	Offshore Borrow Area	Dune	2016



Project Specific Monitoring Reference and Treatment Zones

- Nest location
 - disorientation, inundation, wash out or erosion, predation
- Nest inventories for hatch and emergence success

Placement	Time Since Sand		
Туре	Placement	Ν	# Nests
	Contraction Contract		
Nourishment	Y+1	12	156
Nourishment	Y+2	14	239
Dune	Y+1	6	52
No Sand		41	797
	Total	73	1244



Year 3 Monitoring

- Reference/control No sand placement
- Dune +1 First nesting season after dune creation
- Treatment Nourishment by Year Since Placement



Survey Length







Post-construction Monitoring

- Nesting, hatching
- Shorebird surveys
- Lighting
 - Two surveys, April 1 and April 15 (May 1 report)
 - June 15 and July 1 (July 15 report)
- Tilling (or compaction) (March 1 completed)
- Weekly scarp surveys



Year 3 Results

- Nesting decision per species per sand placement type
- Hatch success per species per sand placement type



Impact Assessment

Nesting decision







Loggerhead Nesting Success





Green Turtle Nesting Success



Leatherback Nesting Success



Loggerhead Nesting Success



Impact Assessment

Hatch Success





Loggerhead Hatch Success



Green Turtle Hatch Success





Leatherback Turtle Hatch Success



Preliminary Conclusions

- Loggerhead nesting was significantly lower on the nourished berm
 - First and second years after sand placement
- No differences in nesting success for green and leatherback turtles on different sand placement types
- No differences in hatch success for any species for any sand placement type





Shorebirds



